arXiv:1612.03133v2 [math.AT] 21 Dec 2016

TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF THE KLEIN BOTTLE

DANIEL C. COHEN[†] AND LUCILE VANDEMBROUCQ[‡]

Abstract. We show that the (normalized) topological complexity of the Klein bottle is equal to 4. We also show that, for any $g \geq 2$, $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) = 4$. This completes recent work of Dranishnikov on the topological complexity of non-orientable surfaces.

MSC 2010: 55M30, 55N25, 57T30, 20J06.
Keywords: Topological complexity, Klein bottle.

1. Introduction

The topological complexity of a space X, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$, is a homotopy invariant which has been introduced by M. Farber in [7] in order to give a topological measure of the complexity of the motion planning problem in robotics. We consider here the normalized version of TC, that is, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is the least integer n such that there exists a cover of $X \times X$ by $n+1$ open sets on each of which the fibration

$$ev_{0,1} : X^I \to X \times X, \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma(0), \gamma(1))$$

admits a local (continuous) section. Roughly speaking, if X is the space of all the possible states of a mechanical system, then we need at least $\mathrm{TC}(X)+1$ rules to determine a complete algorithm telling us how the system will move from any initial state to any final state. Refer to [8] for further discussion, and as a general reference.

We have, for a CW complex X, the following estimates of $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ (see [7]):

$$\max\{\mathrm{cat}(X), \mathrm{zcl}_{\Bbbk}(X)\} \leq \mathrm{TC}(X) \leq 2\mathrm{cat}(X) \leq 2\dim X$$

Here $\mathrm{cat}(X)$ stands for the normalized Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of X and $\mathrm{zcl}_{\Bbbk}(X)$ is the *zero-divisors cup-length* of the cohomology of X with coefficients in a field $\Bbbk$. More precisely $\mathrm{zcl}_{\Bbbk}(X)$ is the nilpotency of the kernel of the cup product $H^*(X;\Bbbk)\otimes H^*(X;\Bbbk) \to H^*(X;\Bbbk)$, that is the least integer n such that any $(n+1)$-fold cup product in this kernel is trivial.

Date: December 22, 2016.
[†] Partially supported by NSF 1105439.
[‡] Partially supported by Portuguese Funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, within the Project UID/MAT/00013/2013.

As is well-known, the determination of the topological complexity of non-orientable surfaces N_g has turned out to be a difficult task. Considering the zero-divisors cup-length with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, we have, for any $g \geq 1$,

$$3 \leq \mathrm{TC}(N_g) \leq 4.$$

The actual value of TC for $N_1 = \mathbb{R}P^2$, equal to 3, has been first determined through the Farber-Tabachnikov-Yuzvinsky theorem relating $\mathrm{TC}(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ to the immersion dimension of $\mathbb{R}P^n$ ([9]) and later recovered by Costa and Farber [6] through a computation of zero-divisors cup-length with local coefficients. Recently A. Dranishnikov established in [4] that $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) = 4$ for $g \geq 5$. He also showed in [5] that $\mathrm{TC}(N_4) = 4$ and that his methods do not extend to the lower genus cases $g \in \{2, 3\}$. Since then, only the case of N_g with $g \in \{2, 3\}$ and in particular the case of the Klein bottle $K = N_2$ were still open. We here prove:

Theorem 1. *The normalized topological complexity of K is equal to 4.*

Theorem 2. *For any $g \geq 2$, $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) = 4$.*

As in [6], our result will follow from a computation of zero-divisors cup-length with local coefficients. For a discrete group π we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ the associated integral group ring and by $I(\pi) = ker(\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{Z})$ the augmentation ideal. We will see that, when $\pi = \pi_1(N_g)$ and $g \geq 2$, the fourth power of a particular zero-divisor $\mathfrak{v} \in H^1(N_g \times N_g; I(\pi))$ introduced in [6] is not trivial, that is

$$\mathfrak{v}^4 \neq 0 \in H^4(N_g \times N_g; I(\pi)^{\otimes 4})$$

from which we obtain that $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) \geq 4$. Our computation will be based on an explicit calculation of a cocycle representing $\mathfrak{v}^4$ and the evaluation of this cocycle on a cycle which is not homologically trivial.

We note that our results also complete recent work [10] of J. González, B. Gutiérrez, D. Gutiérrez and A. Lara on the higher topological complexity of the non-orientable surfaces. Recall that the s-topological complexity of a space X, $\mathrm{TC}_s(X)$, has been introduced by Y. Rudyak in [12] and satisfies $\mathrm{TC}_2 = \mathrm{TC}$. Through a computation of (higher) zero-divisors cup-length with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the authors of [10] compute $\mathrm{TC}_s(N_g)$ for any $s \geq 3$ and $g \geq 1$.

Finally, we note that we recently learned that Don Davis independently developed in [3] another approach to the topological complexity of the Klein bottle.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Farber for a number of useful discussions concerning the theme of this article, and the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, where this collaboration started during the mini-workshop *Topological Complexity and related topics*.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Some notations.** We recall here some standard notations and fix some conventions we will use throughout the paper. For a discrete group π (with unit 1), we denote by $\bar{a}$ the inverse of an element $a \in \pi$ and by $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ the associated integral group ring. All our $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules will be left modules. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module, we denote by M_G the coinvariants of M, that is

$$M_G = M/\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{m - a \cdot m \,|\, m \in M, a \in \pi\}.$$

If I and J are (left) $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules, the tensor product $I \otimes J = I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J$ is a left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module given by the diagonal action of π and we denote by $I \otimes_\pi J$ the coinvariants of $I \otimes J$.

2.2. **Topological complexity and canonical TC class.** As mentioned in the introduction, we consider the normalized version of Farber's topological complexity:

Definition 3. *The topological complexity of a topological space X, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$, is the least integer n such that there exists a cover of $X \times X$ by $n+1$ open sets on each of which the fibration*

$$ev_{0,1} : X^I \to X \times X, \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma(0), \gamma(1))$$

admits a local section.

As in [8], we will consider the cohomological lower bound of TC given by the *zero-divisor cup-length* in cohomology with local coefficients. Recall that a local system of coefficients on a space Y is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(Y)]$-module.

Let A be a coefficient system on $X \times X$ and let $A|X$ be the system induced by the diagonal map $\Delta : X \to X \times X$. A cohomology class $u \in H^*(X \times X; A)$ is called a *zero-divisor* if

$$\Delta^*(u) = 0 \in H^*(X; A|X).$$

By [8, Corollary 4.40], if the cup product of n zero-divisors $u_i \in H^*(X \times X; A_i)$ is nonzero, then $\mathrm{TC}(X) \geq n$.

In particular, if we take coefficients in a field $\Bbbk$ (with trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X \times X)]$), the zero-divisors can be identified with the elements of the kernel of the cup product $\cup : H^*(X;\Bbbk) \otimes H^*(X;\Bbbk) \to H^*(X;\Bbbk)$ and [8, Corollary 4.40] specializes to [7, Theorem 7] on the zero-divisors cup-length of $H^*(X;\Bbbk)$.

In [6], Farber and Costa associate to a space X a canonical zero-divisor, which we call the *canonical TC class* and describe next. Let $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ be the fundamental group of X. Let $I(\pi) = \ker(\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{Z})$ be the

augmentation ideal. Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and $I(\pi)$ are both (left) $\mathbb{Z}[\pi\times\pi]$-modules through the action given by:

$$(a,b)\cdot\sum n_i a_i = \sum n_i(aa_i\bar{b})$$

Here $n_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $a,b,a_i\in\pi$. We denote by $\mathfrak{v}=\mathfrak{v}_X\in H^1(X\times X;I(\pi))$ the cohomology class induced by the crossed homomorphism (see [6] or the next section)

$$\pi\times\pi\to I(\pi), a\times b\mapsto a\bar{b}-1.$$

The class $\mathfrak{v}$ is a zero-divisor and from [6] we have:

Theorem 4. *(*[6, Theorem 7]*) Suppose that X is a CW-complex of dimension $n\geq 2$. Then $\mathrm{TC}(X)=2n$ if and only if the $2n$-th power of $\mathfrak{v}$ does not vanish:*

$$\mathrm{TC}(X)=2n\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{v}^{2n}\neq 0\in H^{2n}(X\times X;I(\pi)^{\otimes 2n}).$$

Here $I(\pi)^{\otimes 2n}=I(\pi)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}I(\pi)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\cdots\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}I(\pi)$ is the tensor product of $2n$ copies of $I(\pi)$ and is given with the diagonal action of $\pi\times\pi$.

If $X=N_g$ is a non-orientable surface, it is well-known (and established through an easy calculation) that the zero-divisors cup-length of $H^*(N_g;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is 3, which implies that $\mathrm{TC}(N_g)\geq 3$. Therefore, $3\leq\mathrm{TC}(N_g)\leq 4$ and, if $\pi=\pi_1(N_g)$, Theorem 4 specializes to

Corollary 5. $\mathrm{TC}(N_g)=4\Leftrightarrow\mathfrak{v}^4\neq 0\in H^4(N_g\times N_g;I(\pi)^{\otimes 4})$.

We next use the bar resolution to give an explicit expression of $\mathfrak{v}_{N_g}$ and of its powers.

2.3. **Bar resolution and canonical cocyle.** Let π be a discrete group and X be a $K(\pi,1)$-space. In this section we exhibit, for $n\geq 1$, a canonical cocycle representing the cohomology class $\mathfrak{v}^n\in H^n(X\times X,I(\pi)^{\otimes n})$.

Recall (see, for instance [1]) the bar resolution $B_*(\pi)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module:

$$\cdots\to B_n(\pi)\xrightarrow{\partial_n}\cdots\to B_1(\pi)\xrightarrow{\partial_1}B_0(\pi)=\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}\to 0$$

Here $B_n(\pi)$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module with basis $\{[a_1|\cdots|a_n],(a_1,\cdots,a_n)\in\pi^n\}$ and ∂_n is the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ morphism given by

$$\partial_n([a_1|\cdots|a_n])=a_1\cdot[a_2|\cdots|a_n]+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_ia_{i+1}|a_{i+2}|\cdots|a_n]+(-1)^n[a_1|\cdots|a_{n-1}]$$

In particular, $\partial_1[a]=a[\,]-[\,]=a-1$.

If A is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module then $B_*(\pi)\otimes_\pi A$ (with differential $\partial\otimes \mathrm{Id}$) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-chain complex that we denote by $B_*(\pi;A)$ and call the bar resolution with coefficients in A. We also consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-cochain complex of cochains with coefficients, $C^*(\pi;A) := (Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(B_*(\pi),A),\delta)$, where δ is given by $\delta_n\alpha = (-1)^{n+1}\alpha\partial_{n+1}$. In this way, the (co)homology groups of a $K(\pi,1)$-space X with coefficients in A are given by

$$H_n(X;A) = H(B_*(\pi;A)) \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(X;A) = H(C^*(\pi;A)).$$

Recall also that, in order to compute these (co)homology groups we can use $\mathbb{Z}$ (co)chain-complexes defined analogously to $B_*(\pi;A)$ and $C^*(\pi;A)$ by replacing $B_*(\pi)$ with any other free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular we will make use of finite free resolutions in Sections 3.1 and 4.

The Alexander-Whitney diagonal is the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-chain map given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta : B_*(\pi) & \to & B_*(\pi)\otimes B_*(\pi) \\ [a_1|\cdots|a_n] & \mapsto & \sum_{i=0}^{n}[a_1|\cdots|a_i]\otimes a_1\cdots a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n] \end{array}$$

where the action of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ on $B_*(\pi)\otimes B_*(\pi)$ is induced by the diagonal action of π.

If $\alpha : B_*(\pi)\to A$ and $\beta : B_*(\pi)\to B$ are two cochains of respective degree i and $n-i$, their cup product $\alpha\cup\beta$ is the cochain of degree n given by

$$\alpha\cup\beta : B_n(\pi)\xrightarrow{\Delta}(B_*(\pi)\otimes B_*(\pi))_n\xrightarrow{\alpha\otimes\beta}A\otimes B.$$

We have $\delta(\alpha\cup\beta) = \delta\alpha\cup\beta + (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)}\alpha\cup\delta\beta$.

A canonical cocycle corresponding to the TC-canonical cohomology class $\mathfrak{v}\in H^1(X\times X;I(\pi))$ is given by

$$\nu : B_1(\pi\times\pi)\to I(\pi),\ [(a,b)]\mapsto a\bar{b}-1$$

Using the AW-diagonal we then obtain the following explicit expression of the nth power of ν:

$$\begin{array}{l} (1)\quad \nu^n : B_n(\pi\times\pi)\to I(\pi)^{\otimes n} \\ [(a_1,b_1)|\cdots|(a_n,b_n)]\mapsto (a_1\bar{b}_1-1)\otimes\cdots\otimes a_1\cdots a_{n-1}(a_n\bar{b}_n-1)(\bar{b}_{n-1}\cdots\bar{b}_1) \end{array}$$

We will also use the Eilenberg-Zilber chain equivalence

$$EZ : B_*(\pi)\otimes B_*(\pi)\to B_*(\pi\times\pi)$$

which is the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi\times\pi]\cong\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\otimes\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ morphism given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} EZ_n:\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} B_i(\pi)\otimes B_{n-i}(\pi) & \to & B_n(\pi\times\pi) \\ [a_1|\cdots|a_i]\otimes[b_{i+1}|\cdots|b_n] & \mapsto & \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_{i,n-i}}(-1)^{\varepsilon(\sigma)}[c_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}|\cdots|c_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}] \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{i,n-i}$ denotes the set of $(i,n-i)$ shuffles, $(-1)^{|\sigma|}$ is the signature of the shuffle σ and

$$c_k=\begin{cases}(a_k,1) & \text{if } 1\le k\le i;\\ (1,b_k) & \text{if } i+1\le k\le n.\end{cases}$$

2.4. **Corollary 5 revisited.** Let $X=N_g$ and $\pi=\pi_1(N_g)$. In order to see that $\mathfrak{v}^4$ is not zero it is sufficient to see that the evaluation of the cocycle

$$\nu^4: B_4(\pi\times\pi)\to I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}$$

on a nontrivial cycle is nonzero. A natural choice for such a nontrivial cycle is a cycle corresponding to the twisted fundamental class of $N_g\times N_g$. Recall that the twisted fundamental class of $N_g\times N_g$, denoted by $[N_g\times N_g]$, is a generator of $H_4(N_g\times N_g,\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})\cong\mathbb{Z}$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the orientation module of $N_g\times N_g$, that is $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}$ given with the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi\times\pi]$-module induced by the first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(N_g\times N_g)\in H^1(N_g\times N_g;\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Letting t denote a generator of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, the class $[N_g\times N_g]$ can be represented by a cycle

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}}=\Omega\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}t\in B_4(\pi\times\pi;\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$$

where $\Omega\in B_4(\pi\times\pi)$.

The evaluation of ν^4 on $\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}}$, which we denote by $\nu^4(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}})$, is the image of $\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}}$ by the following morphism:

$$B_*(\pi\times\pi)\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\xrightarrow{\nu^4\otimes\mathrm{Id}}I(\pi)^4\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$$

that is $\nu^4(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}})=\nu^4(\Omega)\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}t$. Notice that $\nu^4(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is exactly the cap-product of $\mathfrak{v}^4$ with $[N_g\times N_g]$:

$$\mathfrak{v}^4\cap[N_g\times N_g]\in H_0(N_g\times N_g,I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})=I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$$

By Poincaré duality we have an isomorphism:

$$\cap[N_g\times N_g]:H^4(N_g\times N_g,I(\pi)^{\otimes 4})\xrightarrow{\cong}H_0(N_g\times N_g,I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$$

so that Corollary 5 can be continued as follows.

Proposition 6. $\mathrm{TC}(N_g)=4\Leftrightarrow\nu^4(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}})\neq 0\in I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Actually we will see that, for $g\ge 2$, $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2})\neq 0$ where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is equipped with the trivial $\pi\times\pi$ action and $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\in B_4(\pi\times\pi)\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\mathbb{Z}_2=B_4(\pi\times\pi;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a cycle representing the generator of $H_4(\pi\times\pi;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, that is, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ fundamental

class of $N_g \times N_g$. The following commutative diagram, in which the vertical maps are induced by the obvious projection $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_4(\pi\times\pi;\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}) = B_4(\pi\times\pi)\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\nu^4\otimes Id} & I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_4(\pi\times\pi;\mathbb{Z}_2) = B_4(\pi\times\pi)\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu^4\otimes Id} & I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

ensures that $\nu^4(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}}) \neq 0$ as soon as $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \neq 0$, since $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the image of $\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}}$ under the left-hand vertical map. So, Theorems 1 and 2 will follow from the following statement together with the results of Sections 3 and 4, on the Klein bottle and higher genus surfaces, respectively.

Proposition 7. *If* $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \neq 0 \in I(\pi)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{\pi\times\pi}\mathbb{Z}_2$ *then* $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) = 4$.

3. Klein bottle

We now consider the special case of the Klein bottle:

$$K = N_2, \text{ a } K(G,1)\text{-space}, \quad \text{where} \quad G = \langle x, y \,|\, yxy = x\rangle.$$

Note that $G = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where the action of $\mathbb{Z} = \langle x\rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} = \langle y\rangle$ is given by $x^{-1}yx = y^{-1}$. Theorem 1 will follow from Proposition 7 together with the following result we will establish in this section:

Proposition 8. $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \neq 0 \in I(G)^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{G\times G}\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Since the action of $G\times G$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is trivial, $IG\otimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ can be identified with the $\mathbb{Z}[G\times G]$-module $I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2) = \ker(\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}_2[G] \to \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and we have

$$IG^{\otimes 4}\otimes_{G\times G}\mathbb{Z}_2 = (I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4})_{G\times G}.$$

We will first determine an explicit expression of a cycle $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in B_4(G\times G;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ representing the generator of $H_4(K\times K;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, then calculate $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ and prove that $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \neq 0 \in (I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4})_{G\times G}$.

3.1. **Explicit fundamental cycle.** One can construct a finite, free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module by applying the Fox calculus to the presentation $G = \langle x, y \,|\, yxy = x\rangle$ (see, for instance, [2]). Explicitly, a finite free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by:

$$P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}$$

where P_0, P_1, P_2 are free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules with respective basis $\{e^0\}$, $\{e_1^1, e_2^1\}$, $\{e^2\}$ and the differential is given by

$$\partial(e_1^1) = (x-1)e^0 \quad \partial(e_2^1) = (y-1)e^0 \quad \partial(e^2) = (y-1)e_1^1 + (1+yx)e_2^1.$$

A chain map (which is an equivalence) from the resolution $(P_\bullet, \partial)$ to the bar resolution $B_*(G)$ is given by

$$e^0 \mapsto 1, \quad e_1^1 \mapsto [x], \quad e_2^1 \mapsto [y], \quad e^2 \mapsto [y|x] + [yx|y].$$

By tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_2$, e^2 gives a cycle whose homology class is the generator of $H_2(K;\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Therefore

$$\kappa = [y|x] + [yx|y] \in B_2(G)$$

induces a representative, $\kappa_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, of this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ fundamental class in $B_2(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Remark 9. *The orientation module of the Klein bottle K, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}t$, has the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module generated by:*

$$x^p \cdot t = (-1)^p t \qquad y^p \cdot t = t \qquad (p \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and the elements $e_2 \otimes_G t \in P_2 \otimes_G \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\kappa \otimes_G t = \kappa_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}} \in B_2(G, \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ are both cycles representing the twisted fundamental class $[K] \in H_2(K;\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ of K.

A cycle, $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in B_4(G\times G;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ representing the generator of $H_4(K\times K;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is then induced by $\Omega = EZ(\kappa \otimes \kappa) \in B_4(G \times G)$ where EZ is the Eilenberg Zilber map (which is a chain equivalence). Explicitly:

$$\Omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} +[y_1|x_1|y_2|x_2] - [y_1|y_2|x_1|x_2] + [y_1|y_2|x_2|x_1] \\ +[y_2|y_1|x_1|x_2] - [y_2|y_1|x_2|x_1] + [y_2|x_2|y_1|x_1] \\ +[y_1|x_1|y_2x_2|y_2] - [y_1|y_2x_2|x_1|y_2] + [y_1|y_2x_2|y_2|x_1] \\ +[y_2x_2|y_1|x_1|y_2] - [y_2x_2|y_1|y_2|x_1] + [y_2x_2|y_2|y_1|x_1] \\ +[y_1x_1|y_1|y_2|x_2] - [y_1x_1|y_2|y_1|x_2] + [y_1x_1|y_2|x_2|y_1] \\ +[y_2|y_1x_1|y_1|x_2] - [y_2|y_1x_1|x_2|y_1] + [y_2|x_2|y_1x_1|y_1] \\ +[y_1x_1|y_1|y_2x_2|y_2] - [y_1x_1|y_2x_2|y_1|y_2] + [y_1x_1|y_2x_2|y_2|y_1] \\ +[y_2x_2|y_1x_1|y_1|y_2] - [y_2x_2|y_1x_1|y_2|y_1] + [y_2x_2|y_2|y_1x_1|y_1] \end{array} \right.$$

where $x_1 = (x, 1)$, $x_2 = (1, x)$, $y_1 = (y, 1)$, $y_2 = (1, y)$. Notice that, with this notation $u_1v_2 = v_2u_1$ for $u, v \in \{x, y\}$.

Remark 10. *This expression also provides a cycle $\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}} \in B_4(G\times G;\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ which represents the twisted fundamental class of $K \times K$. Here the structure of the orientation module of $K \times K$ is given by*

$$x_1^p \cdot t = x_2^p \cdot t = (-1)^p t \qquad y_1^p \cdot t = y_2^p \cdot t = t \qquad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Alternatively, one can realize $G\times G$ as an iterated semidirect product of rank 1 free groups, construct a finite, free $\mathbb{Z}[G \times G]$-resolution $F_\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ as in [2]*, and a chain map $F_\bullet \to B_*(G \times G)$. The image of the unique generator in degree 4 of $F_\bullet$ then yields an explicit expression as above.*

3.2. **Explicit expression of $\nu^4(\Omega)$.** By applying the cocyle given in (1) to Ω, we obtain the following expression of $\nu^4(\Omega) \in IG^{\otimes 4}$. Here we only use the relations coming from the relation $yxy = x$ (e.g., $yx = x\bar{y}$, $y\bar{x} = \bar{x}\bar{y}$, etc.). For the convenience in future calculations, we label each term of this expression by T_i, as indicated in the righthand column.

$$\nu^4(\Omega) = \begin{cases} +(y-1)\otimes(yx-y)\otimes(y^2x-yx)\otimes(1-y^2x) & (T_1)\\ -(y-1)\otimes(1-y)\otimes(y^2x-1)\otimes(1-y^2x) & (T_2)\\ +(y-1)\otimes(1-y)\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-1)\otimes(1-y^2\bar{x}) & (T_3)\\ +(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(1-\bar{y})\otimes(y^2x-1)\otimes(1-y^2x) & (T_4)\\ -(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(1-\bar{y})\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-1)\otimes(1-y^2\bar{x}) & (T_5)\\ +(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(y\bar{x}-\bar{y})\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-y\bar{x})\otimes(1-y^2\bar{x}) & (T_6)\\ +(y-1)\otimes(yx-y)\otimes(1-yx)\otimes(y-1) & (T_7)\\ -(y-1)\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-y)\otimes(1-y^2\bar{x})\otimes(y-1) & (T_8)\\ +(y-1)\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-y)\otimes(y\bar{x}-y^2\bar{x})\otimes(y-y\bar{x}) & (T_9)\\ +(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-y\bar{x})\otimes(1-y^2\bar{x})\otimes(y-1) & (T_{10})\\ -(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(y^2\bar{x}-y\bar{x})\otimes(y\bar{x}-y^2\bar{x})\otimes(y-y\bar{x}) & (T_{11})\\ +(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(\bar{x}-y\bar{x})\otimes(y\bar{x}-\bar{x})\otimes(y-y\bar{x}) & (T_{12})\\ +(yx-1)\otimes(x-yx)\otimes(yx-x)\otimes(\bar{y}-yx) & (T_{13})\\ -(yx-1)\otimes(y^2x-yx)\otimes(yx-y^2x)\otimes(\bar{y}-yx) & (T_{14})\\ +(yx-1)\otimes(y^2x-yx)\otimes(1-y^2x)\otimes(\bar{y}-1) & (T_{15})\\ +(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(y^2x-\bar{y})\otimes(yx-y^2x)\otimes(\bar{y}-yx) & (T_{16})\\ -(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(y^2x-\bar{y})\otimes(1-y^2x)\otimes(\bar{y}-1) & (T_{17})\\ +(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(y\bar{x}-\bar{y})\otimes(1-y\bar{x})\otimes(\bar{y}-1) & (T_{18})\\ +(yx-1)\otimes(x-yx)\otimes(\bar{y}-x)\otimes(1-\bar{y}) & (T_{19})\\ -(yx-1)\otimes(1-yx)\otimes(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(1-\bar{y}) & (T_{20})\\ +(yx-1)\otimes(1-yx)\otimes(y-1)\otimes(1-y) & (T_{21})\\ +(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(1-y\bar{x})\otimes(\bar{y}-1)\otimes(1-\bar{y}) & (T_{22})\\ -(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(1-y\bar{x})\otimes(y-1)\otimes(1-y) & (T_{23})\\ +(y\bar{x}-1)\otimes(\bar{x}-y\bar{x})\otimes(y-\bar{x})\otimes(1-y) & (T_{24}) \end{cases}$$

3.3. **Proof of Proposition 8.** Recall that $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ is the image of $\nu^4(\Omega)$ in the coinvariants of $I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4}$ with respect to the $G\times G$ action. Considering the image of $\nu^4(\Omega)$ in $I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4}$ permits us to forget the signs in the expression above.

We will carry out further projections which will enable us to see that this element is not zero. The major reduction comes from a projection onto the third exterior power $\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ where $D=\langle x,y|yxy=x,x^2=1\rangle$ is the infinite dihedral group.

We first observe that, if $\rho : G \to H$ is a homomorphism, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[G\times G]\otimes I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}[\rho\times\rho]\otimes I(\rho)} & \mathbb{Z}[H\times H]\otimes I(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{I(\rho)} & I(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

which makes compatible the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[G\times G]$- and $\mathbb{Z}[H\times H]$-modules.

3.3.1. *Projection onto* $\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$. The projection

$$p : I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4} \to \bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

we will use is the composition of the following two projections:

(1) $I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 4} = I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)\otimes I(G;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 3} \to I(Y;\mathbb{Z}_2)\otimes I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\otimes 3}$

Here $Y = \langle x, y | yxy = x, x = 1\rangle = \langle y | y^2 = 1\rangle$ and the projections on the factors are induced by the homomorphisms $G \to Y$ and $G \to D$. Since $I(Y;\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2(y-1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we suppress the first component in the continuation of the calculation.

(2) $I(Y;Z_2)\otimes (I(D;\mathbb{Z}^2))^{\otimes 3} \cong (I(D;\mathbb{Z}^2))^{\otimes 3} \to \bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$

Through manipulations in $\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, together with the fact that we are working with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, we can see that

- $p(T_i) = 0$ for all T_i except for T_1, T_6, T_{10}, T_{15}, T_{19} and T_{24}.
- $p(T_1 + T_{10}) = p(T_6 + T_{15}) = 0$
- $p(T_{19} + T_{24}) = (1-x)\wedge(1-yx)\wedge(y-\bar{y})$

We thus obtain that the image of $\nu^4(\Omega)$ under the projection p is the element

$$s = (1-x)\wedge(1-yx)\wedge(y-\bar{y}) \in \bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2).$$

As a consequence, $\nu^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ is not trivial if the class of s in the coinvariants $(\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2))_{G\times G}$ is not trivial. We note that since the homomorphism $G \to D$ is surjective and its kernel $\langle x^2\rangle$ acts trivially on $\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we actually have

$$(\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2))_{G\times G} = (\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2))_{D\times D}$$

and it suffices to show that s is not trivial modulo the $D\times D$ action.

3.3.2. *Class of s modulo the $D\times D$ action.* Let $w = 1-y$, $v = 1-x$ and let (w^3) be the sub $\mathbb{Z}[D\times D]$-module of $I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ (and of $\mathbb{Z}_2[D]$) generated by w^3. The quotient $J = I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2)/(w^3)$ is a sub $\mathbb{Z}[D\times D]$-module of $\mathbb{Z}_2[D]/(w^3)$ which can be described as the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vector space

$$\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{v, w, wx, w^2, w^2x\}$$

with the $D \times D$ action given by the following table:

	v	w	wx	w^2	w^2x
$(x,1)$	v	$wx + w^2x$	$w + w^2$	w^2x	w^2
$(1,x)$	v	wx	w	w^2x	w^2
$(y,1)$	$v + w + wx$	$w + w^2$	$wx + w^2x$	w^2	w^2x
$(1,y)$	$v + w + w^2 + wx$	$w + w^2$	$wx + w^2x$	w^2	w^2x
$(\bar{y},1)$	$v + w + w^2 + wx + w^2x$	$w + w^2$	$wx + w^2x$	w^2	w^2x
$(1,\bar{y})$	$v + w + wx + w^2x$	$w + w^2$	$wx + w^2x$	w^2	w^2x

Note that in J we have $1 - \bar{y} = w + w^2$.

Using the projection $\bigwedge^3 I(D;\mathbb{Z}_2) \to \bigwedge^3 J$, we look at our element s in $\bigwedge^3 J$. We obtain

$$s = v \wedge wx \wedge w^2.$$

Let $L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{w, wx, w^2, w^2x\}$. This is a sub $\mathbb{Z}[D \times D]$-module of J and we can consider the projection

$$\bigwedge^3 J \to \bigwedge^3 J / \bigwedge^3 L.$$

The quotient $\bigwedge^3 J / \bigwedge^3 L$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vector space generated by the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} a &=& v \wedge w \wedge wx & & d &=& v \wedge wx \wedge w^2 \\ b &=& v \wedge w \wedge w^2 & & e &=& v \wedge wx \wedge w^2x \\ c &=& v \wedge w \wedge w^2x & & f &=& v \wedge w^2 \wedge w^2x \end{array}$$

The computation of the $D \times D$ action gives:

	a	b	c	d	e	f
$(x,1)$	$a + c + d + f$	e	$d + f$	$c + f$	b	f
$(1,x)$	a	e	d	c	b	f
$(y,1)$	$a + c + d + f$	b	$c + f$	$d + f$	e	f

Multiplication by $(1,y)$, $(\bar{y},1)$, or $(1,\bar{y})$ gives the same result as multiplication by $(y,1)$.

We can thus see that, modulo the $D \times D$ action, we have

$$b = e \quad c = d \quad f = 0$$

and that there are no more relations. Therefore our element s, which corresponds to $d = c$, is not 0 modulo the $D \times D$ action. This completes the proof of Proposition 8.

4. Topological complexity of N_g, $g \geq 2$

We here use the following presentation of the fundamental group of N_g:

$$\pi_1(N_g) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_g \,|\, a_1^2 \cdots a_g^2 = 1 \rangle$$

and consider the map $\phi : N_g \to N_{g-1}$ induced by the homomorphism $\varphi : \pi_1(N_g) \to \pi_1(N_{g-1})$

$$a_i \mapsto a_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq g-1 \quad \text{and } a_g \mapsto 1.$$

Lemma 11. *$H_2(\phi) : H_2(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H_2(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Again, a finite free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi(N_g)]$-resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ can be obtained using Fox calculus. Explicitly, writing $\alpha = a_1^2 \cdots a_g^2$, a finite free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi(N_g)]$-resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by (see, for instance, [11]):

$$M_2^g \xrightarrow{\partial} M_1^g \xrightarrow{\partial} M_0^g \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}$$

where M_0^g, M_1^g, M_2^g are free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi(N_g)]$-modules with respective basis $\{e^0\}$, $\{f_1^1, ..., f_g^1\}$, $\{\omega_g\}$ and the differential is given by

$$\partial(f_i^1) = (a_i - 1)e^0, \quad \partial(\omega_g) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial a_i} f_i^1 = \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_1^2 \cdots a_{i-1}^2 (1 + a_i) f_i^1.$$

The differential $\partial(\omega_g)$ is given above in terms of the Fox free derivatives of α. The map

$$e_0 \mapsto e_0 \quad \begin{cases} f_i^1 \mapsto f_i^1 \ (1 \leq i \leq g-1) \\ f_g^1 \mapsto 0 \end{cases} \qquad \omega_g \mapsto \omega_{g-1}$$

gives a chain map $M_\bullet^g \to M_\bullet^{g-1}$ which induces the required isomorphism. □

Theorem 12. *For $g \geq 2$, $\mathrm{TC}(N_g) = 4$.*

Proof. Writing π_g and π_{g-1} in place of $\pi_1(N_g)$ and $\pi_1(N_{g-1})$ and ν_g, ν_{g-1} for the associated canonical cocycles, the homomorphism φ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_4(\pi_g \times \pi_g) \otimes_{\pi_g \times \pi_g} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu_g^4 \otimes id} & I(\pi_g)^{\otimes 4} \otimes_{\pi_g \times \pi_g} \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_4(\pi_{g-1} \times \pi_{g-1}) \otimes_{\pi_{g-1} \times \pi_{g-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu_{g-1}^4 \otimes id} & I(\pi_{g-1})^{\otimes 4} \otimes_{\pi_{g-1} \times \pi_{g-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 11, the map $H_4(\phi \times \phi) : H_4(N_g \times N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H_4(N_{g-1} \times N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism, so that the left hand vertical map in the diagram above maps a cycle $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^g$ representing the generator of $H_4(N_g \times N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ to a cycle $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{g-1}$ representing the generator of $H_4(N_{g-1} \times N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The commutativity of the diagram implies that $\nu_g^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^g) \neq 0$ as soon as $\nu_{g-1}^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{g-1}) \neq 0$. Since $\nu_g^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^g) \neq 0$ for $g = 2$, we therefore have $\nu_g^4(\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^g) \neq 0$ for any $g \geq 2$. Proposition 7 permits us to complete the proof. □

References

[1] K. S. Brown. Cohomology of groups, Graduate texts in Mathematics 87, Springer, 1982.

[2] D. Cohen and A. Suciu. Homology of iterated semidirect products of free groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **126** (1998), 87-120.

[3] D. Davis. An Approach to the topological complexity of the Klein bottle. arXiv:1612:02747.

[4] A. Dranishnikov. The topological complexity and the homotopy cofiber of the diagonal map for non-orientable surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (2016), 4999-5014.

[5] A. Dranishnikov. On Topological Complexity of non-orientable surfaces. *Topology and its Application - Special issue dedicated to Kodama*, to appear.

[6] A. Costa and M. Farber. Motion planning in spaces with small fundamental groups, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, Volume 12, 2 (2010), 107-119.

[7] M. Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **29** (2003), 211–221.

[8] M. Farber. *Invitation to topological robotics*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.

[9] M. Farber, S. Tabachnikov and S. Yuzvinsky. Topological robotics: motion planning in projective spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 34 (2003), 1853-1870.

[10] J. González, B. Gutiérrez, D. Gutiérrez and A. Lara. Motion planning in real flag manifolds. arXiv:1509:02898.

[11] S. Martins and D. Gonçalves. Diagonal approximation and the cohomology ring of the fundamental groups of surfaces. *Eur. J. Math.* 1 (2015), 122-137.

[12] Y. Rudyak. On higher analogs of topological complexity. *Topology Appl.* 157(5) (2010), 916-920.

[13] A. Schwarz. *The genus of a fiber space*, A.M.S. Transl. 55(1966), 49-140.

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA 70808

E-mail address: cohen@math.lsu.edu

URL: www.math.lsu.edu/~cohen

Centro de Matemática, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

E-mail address: lucile@math.uminho.pt